



G. Trenholm Walker
Thomas P. Gressette, Jr.
Ian W. Freeman
John P. Linton, Jr.
Charles P. Summerall, IV

THOMAS P. GRESSETTE, JR.
Direct: 843.727.2249
Email: Gressette@WGFLAW.com

August 12, 2020

Via Electronic Mail / DMS Filing

The Honorable Jocelyn Boyd
Chief Clerk and Administrator
South Carolina Public Service Commission
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210-8411

RE: Commission Docket No. 2014-346-WS
Revised Notice

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Our office represents Daufuskie Island Utility Co., Inc. ("DIUC"), the Applicant in the above-referenced matter. Via letter dated July 31, 2020, the Office of the Clerk notified DIUC:

Furnish at your own expense, on or before August 10, 2020, by U.S. Mail via bill inserts or by electronic mail to customers who have agreed to receive notice by electronic mail, the enclosed Notice of Filing to each affected customer and provide a certification to the Commission on or before August 17, 2020, that this notification has been furnished.

Subsequently DIUC requested a three-day extension of the August 10, 2020, deadline to August 13, 2020. The extra time was needed to prepare the notices. The extension was granted by the Clerk's issuance of the Second Revised Notice on August 7, 2020. However, the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") also filed correspondence on August 7, 2020, stating:

The South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff does not object to DIUC's request provided the Commission commensurately extends the date on which persons may intervene in this docket by three days. This commensurate extension would help to maintain an appropriate amount of time between the date a customer receives notice and the date by which they must file a Petition to Intervene.

In an effort to resolve the matter raised by ORS regarding the Second Revised Notice, DIUC prepared and circulated the attached Third Revised Notice. This version of the Notice requires DIUC to transmit notice on or before August 17, 2020, and allows 21 days (until September 8, 2020) for intervention, as stated.

Gressette to Hon. Boyd
August 12, 2020
Page 2 of 2

In response to this proposed Third Revised notice, ORS has indicated via email that “ORS does not agree with the wording in the Notice and, as a result, we do not consent. However, we do not object to your proposed timeline.”

I have not been able to reach ORS counsel to further explore the specifics of ORS’s position. However, time is of the essence. Therefore, DIUC hereby submits the attached Third Revised Notice for the Clerk’s review and respectfully seeks the Clerk’s determination regarding issuance of the Third Revised Notice and/or whether the language of the Third Revised Notice should be the subject of further debate.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions, or if any further action is required.

Sincerely,

/s/

Thomas P. Gressette, Jr.

cc: David Butler, Esq. (David.Butler@psc.sc.gov)
Randall Dong, Esq. (Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov)
Andrew M. Bateman, Esq. (abateman@regstaff.sc.gov)
Jeff Nelson, Esq. (jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov)
John J. Pringle, Jr., Esq. (jack.pringle@arlaw.com)